99494 Na 06 00 00 5

## SKINNER LANDFILL West Chester, Ohio

Skinner Landfill, West Chester, Ohio, was never licensed. It is located at 8750 Cincinnati-Dayton Road on a ridge, approximately thirty feet above the East Fork of Mill Creek. The facility contains approximately 100 drums of a variety of organic, chlorinated organic, and heavy metal substances. There is also a lagoon once used to dispose of similar bulk wastes. The owner of the facility has indicated that old demolition bombs were disposed of on-site as well. The facility was closed in the early 1970s.

Although there have been no observed releases, the potential for contamination of private drinking water wells and surface water exists.

### Skinner Landfill Project Summary

Skinner Landfill is located in West Chester, Ohio (Butler County) and is owned by Mr. & Mrs. Albert Skinner and Sons. This site was used as a sanitary landfill for several years before it closed around 1970. Reportedly Mr. Skinner disposed of some phosphorous, nerve gas, cyanide sludges and various types of demolition bombs at this site.

In late 1975 early 1976, Spray-Dyne company an aerosol and anti-freeze manufacturer, was destroyed by fire and moved to and became Chem-Dyne. Mr. Skinner was involved with Chem-Dyne; tank rigging, some barrel disposal, and tank truck cleaning operations. It is suspected that some of the wastes from Spray-Dyne and Chem-Dyne was disposed of by Mr. Skinner. These wastes were placed in an open lagoon on a 30 foot tall hill next to a small creek and were stored on the ground. A fire on Mr. Skinner's property alerted the local fire department to the existence of these lagoons. Ohio EPA went to investigate this site and were evicted from the property. A serch warrant was obtained by Ohio EPA but entry to the site was blocked by heavy equipment on the roadway. Another warrant was obtained by Ohio EPA. There were several bomb threats and death threats made by the Skinners, and the lagoons were covered with 12 to 20 feet of earth. A team composed of the U. S. Army demolition/bomb squad, USEPA and Ohio EPA visited the site under warrant in May 1976. They dug into the old lagoon, and found highly toxic C-56 manufacturing sludge waste byproduct, from Velsicol Chemical via Chem-Dyne tank trucks. They also found drums of solvent, plating waste and cyanides.

There has been no activity at the site since 1976. No one from the OEPA has been there since early 1977. The site should be re-investigated under law enforcement escort.

The site will probably leach into Mill Creek sooner or later. Additional monitoring wells should be drilled and runoff sampled. The site should then be re-capped and the materials removed.

#### Scope of Work:

- 1. Sample runoff, install monitor wells.
- Re-cap site and/or
- 3. remove C-56 chlorinated materials and cyanides.

Option 1 or 2 should cost less than \$100,000, and should be accomplished as soon as possible.

If the materials are required to be removed, the costs will exceel 1,000,000 and will probably approach 3 to 4 million dollars.

#### Status of Litigation

Ohio EPA and the State's Attorney General's Office filed suit against Skinner

Skinner Landfill Page 2

to force clean up of the site. Monitor wells, and a geological survey of the site was made by  ${\tt H.}$  C. Nutting and Ohio EPA.

The court ruled that while toxic waste has been buried there, it was not proven that the waste would get off-site. The Judge ruled the site a potential, not an actual threat.

Skinner Landfill Page 3

#### References (Basis of Information)

- 1. Monitor Wells, H. C. Nutting Company 1976 (temporary)
- 2. OEPA Samples of on-site waste
  - May 1976 to ODH for heavy metals, cyanide, phenols
  - May 1976 to USEPA/MERL for GC:MS analysis.

#### Samples Contained

Hexachlorobutadiene, Methyl Naphthalene, Hexachloronorbornadiene, Octachlorobyclopentene, Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, chlordane, dichlorobenzene, Hexachlorobenzene, Heptachloronorborens, Octachlopenta fulualeve, cyanide, copper, lead, cadmium, zinc.

- 3. Geology Report of Area, OEPA, June 1976 report
- 4. Fire Department, Air Pollution, OEPA, fire on site 1976.
- 5. Population Estimates, Census 1980.

# APPENDIX E MODEL WORKSHEETS

ocation: BUTLER	COUNTY			: <b>:</b>
PA Region:				
		Λ. 2225	SKINNER	0.1.10.0
erson(s) in Charge of the Site		HLBERT	JC(INNER	ONDER
en e				
ame of Reviewer:	ENMHARSH	*	• 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 2. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
ite Overall Score:	- 44.6			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
or example: landfill, surface	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in			
eneral Description of the Sit for example: landfill, surface ontamination route of major SEE PROJECT	impoundment, pile, concern: types of in	formation need		

#### **ROUTE - GROUND WATER**

Basis of Information	(0	Ra		e)	Multiplier	Site Score	Maximum Possible Score
OBSERVED RELEASE POGW II							
1, 3	Π	0	•	5	0	45	4
If the site score is zero, go to step 2 otherwise, go to step 5							
ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS PAGENT							
	0	•	2	3	5		6
	•	١	2	3	1		3
	•	١	,	2	2		6
					Subtotal		15
	CO	NTA	INM	ENT	12 reiGW3		·
	•	•	1	3	•		3
· PO1	ENT	IAL	FOR	REL	EASE		
from 2 by site score from 3 rating for this route					•		45
		REL	EAS	 E	····		
om 1 or 4						45	45
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS 1.3 #MGW4							
WAS					FIICS FRUMA		
	1.	•	2	0	1	3	3
2		1	1	T		3	
2 2 2	•	•	2	0	,		3
2	•	•	2	0	,	6	3
2 2 2	0		2 2	000	2	9 9 15	3 6
2 2 2	0	ous '	2 2 2 WAS	000	2 2 Supporter	9 9 15	3
2 2 2 HAZA	ADO	ous '	2 2 2 WAS	O O O	1 2 2 WDies <sup>1</sup> YTITAAU 1	ب ک 15	3 6 9
HAZA  LINUE NT DE-Y  schooling was in that is Totally conti	PDO	ous '	2 2 2 WAS	O O O	1 2 2 Substate VD lay 1 YTTT MAU	ب ک 15	3 6 9
2 2 HAZA INVENTORY	PDO	ous '	2 2 2 WAS	O O O	1 2 2 WDies <sup>1</sup> YTITAAU 1	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 9
HAZA  LINUE NTORY  ACTUAL IN TOLONY COME  STATE RECORD	RDO	DUS'	2 2 2 WAS 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	TE O	T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 4 15 5
HAZA  LINUENTORY  CHURCH WESTE THAT IS TOTALLY COMM  STATE RECORD	RDO	ous '	2 2 2 WAS 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	TE O	T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 4 15 5
HAZA  LINUE NTORY  ACTUAL IN TOLONY COME  STATE RECORD	RDO	DUS'	2 2 2 WAS 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	TE O	2 2 2 Walter Tylinam 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
HAZA  LINUE NTORY  Chuding waste that is totally cont  STATE RECORD  11	ADO	TAR	was		t 2 2 Subnotus 2 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 8 15
HAZA  LINUE NTORY  Chuding waste that is totally cont  STATE RECORD  11	RDO	TAR	WAS  GET  2  2  3	TE O	2 2 2 Subtotal  UANTITY <sup>1</sup> pai GW 1 1 ref GW6) 3 3 4 5 Subtotal	6 6 15 * 5	3 6 8 15
	POT  from 2 by site score from 3  rating for this route	ROUTE CY  POTENT  from 2 by site score from 3.  part of 1 or 4	Rainformation (Circl OBSERVE  1, 3  ROUTE CHARA  10  1  CONTA  POTENTIAL  from 2 by site score from 3.  rating for this route  REL  Dm 1 or 4	Rating (Circle On OBSERVED RE  1, 3	Rating (Circle One)  OBSERVED RELEA  1, 3	Rating (Circle One)  OBSERVED RELEASE PARGW 19  1, 3	Rating (Circle One)  OBSERVED RELEASE rerGW11  1, 3

A roung of zone chause to amored when date is unavolable to rate an additive factor. A roung oil 1 should be entered when date is unavolable to rate a multiplicative Category such as the means quently or consumment. A total oil 5% missing date for the entery enter the consumment of the a second consumment of the cons

Moto the two most negarious warran Serect the one with the highest subtool score and sever that score.

\* SEE REFERENCES

If the one has more than one type of containment tage, purious encourament, tentific, containent, consider of cases separately and
other the score from the worst case.

#### **ROUTE - SURFACE WATER**

Rating Factor	Basis of Information	(0	R	iite iting	e)	Multiplier	Site Score	Maximum Possible Score
OBSERVED RELEASE was SW 1)								
Measured level of evidence of release		(0	5	•	5	1	0	4
If the site score is ze go to step 2 otherwise, go to step								
2	ROUT	E CH	IAR	ACTE	RIST	ICS <sup>1</sup> ner Sw 2		
Site Slope and Terrain	FIELD INVESTIG.	0	1	2	0	١	3	3
1 Year 24 Hour Rainfell	STATE RECORDS	0	•	0	,	1	2	3
Distance to Surface Water	11	•	1	2	(3)	1	3	1
Flood Potential	11	<b>@</b>	-	2	3	2	0	6
						Substoral	පි	15
<u> </u>		COI	NTA	MM	ENT'	<sup>2</sup> vet SW 3i		
Containment	FIELD INVESTIG	٥	١	0	3.	1	2	3
4	POT	ENTI	AL	FOR	RELI	ASE		
Multiply site score from 1. The product is site ra		L				1	الها	45 .
for this mute.	<del></del>		RE	LEAS	E			
	Enter site score from 1 or 4						•5	
6	WAST	E CH	IAR	ACT	ERIST	TICS 1,3 per 5W 41	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>!</del>
Physical State	2	•	<u>٠</u>	2	(3)	. 1	3	3
Tonicity/ Infectiousness	2 .	•	,	1	0	2	6	
Persistence	2	•	1	2	0	2		
			٠			Subtotal	15	15
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY' up SW Su								
Total Waste Duamity	INTENTORY	0	1 2	3	.0	1	5	5
(by Superland definition) excluding waste that is totally contained								
<u> </u>	·	1	TAR	GET	S1 ew	SW 6.		<del>,</del>
Surface Water Use	STATE RELORDS	0	C	<u>  '</u>	3	3	3	•
Critical Habitata Population Served by Surface	"	0	Ļ	2	<u> </u>	2	0	<u> </u>
Water With Water Intake Within 3 Miles Ehremett earn Frum Site	· )/	<u>0</u>	٠)،	3	4)3	•	0	30
<u>. y</u>						Suchora	3	45
<u>•</u> ]	SURFA	CE V	TAN	ER F	OUT	E SUBTOTAL		
A. Multiply 5 x 6 x	7 × 8						3600	151,875
Multiply (A.) by normalization factor     of 0.64 and divide by 1,000				-		0.64	2.3 [8.] Route Subrotal	97.2

#### ROUTE - AIR

Rating Factor	Basis of Information	(	Ra	ile ting e On	e)	Multiplier	Site Score	Maximum Possible Score
IJ		BSEI	RVE	REI	LEAS	E'uel A II		
Evidence of Release	overcome - May 76		0	(		1	45	45
If the site score is a the route subtotal s zero, otherwise, go	core is produce Fox Liv							
2			REL	EAS	E			
Enter site score from	n 1						45	45
3]	WAS	TE (	CHA	RACT	ERIS	TICS1.3 Het A 21		
Physical State / Volathity	2	0	1	2	(3)	1	3	. 3
Rescindy	2	0	0	2	3	1	/	3
Incompanionly	2_	0	1	2	0	,	3	3
Toxicity Infectiousness	2	0	١	2	0	5	6	•
						Subtotal	/3	15
4	HAZARI	oou	s w	ASTE	QUA	NTITY' He A 31	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total Waste Quantity	4.5. EPA Hay 76	0	1 2	3 4	. [3]	1	5	5
	Cluding waste that is lotsily contain	**						
5			TAR	GETS	S <sup>1</sup> wet	A 61		
Distance to Rearest Population	5	0	<u>l</u>	13	3 3	2	4	•
Population Within	5	0		0	4 3	5	15	້ ຮ
Critical Environments		0	٠ (	2	3	2	0	4
Land Use	5	0	١,	2	) ,	1	2	3
						Subsolal	2/	44
6	A	IR A	רטסו	E SU	вто	TAL	,	,
A. Multiply 2 x 3	× 4 × 5						61425	135.000
	Multiply (A.) by normalization factor of 0.72 and divide by 1,000						44,23 (8.) Aquie Suprotes	97.2

<sup>\*</sup>Only air monitoring data will be considered as evidence of release

ROUTE - DIRECT CONTACT

Rating Factor	Basis of Information	Site Rating (Circle One)		Multiplier	Site Score	Maximum Possible Score
<u> </u>	OE	BSERVED	RELEAS	E* met DC 11		
Evidence of Contact		0	4	1	45	45
If the site score is zero, go to step 2. Otherwise						
	ROUT	TE CHAR	ACTERIST	NCS irel DC 25		•
Accessibility of Waste		0 15		١		15
1		CONTAI	NMENT1.	ref DC 3i		
Containment		0 1	2 3	1		3
<u> </u>	POT	ENTIAL F	OR RELE	ASE		
Multiply site score from by site score from 3.	12			1		45
The product is site ratio	ng for this route.		•			
5]		RELE	ASE			
Enter site score from 1	or 4				45	45
6]	WAST	E CHARA	CTERIST	CS1,3 rel DC 41		
Toxicity/ Infectiousness		0 1	20	5	15	15
7]		TAR	GETS1 wer	0C 5:		•
Population Within		0 1 2	0.15	4	12	20
Critical Mabital		0	2 3	2	0	6
Land Use	······································	0	(2) s	2	4	6
		<del> </del>		Subiblai	16	22
в	DIRECT C	ONTACT	ROUTE S	SUBTOTAL	•	
A. Multiply 5 x 6 x 7					10,800	21.600
B. Multiply [A.] by norm divide by 1,000	nalization Factor of 4.5 and	đ		4.5	48.6	97.2

<sup>\*</sup>Mealth report certified by a physician will be considered as sufficient evidence.

AGGREGATE SITE RATING						
Route	Route Subtotal from 6 or 9	Route Subtotal Squared	Maximum Possible Score			
Ground Water	60.15	3690.56	$(97.2)^2 = 9447.84$			
Surface Water	2.3	5.29	$(97.2)^2 = 9447.84$			
Air	44.23	1956.293	$(97.2)^2 = 9447.84$			
Sum		5.652.14	28,343.52			
Square Root of S	um	75.18	168.36			
Overall Score* =	sum x 100 168.36	44.65	100			

FIRE AND EXPLOSION				
Route Subtotal from 8	Maximum Possible Score			
·	97.2			
Adjusted Score = Route Subtot 97.2	al x 100			

DIRECT CONTACT				
Route Subtotal from 8	Maximum Possible Score			
48.6	97.2			
Adjusted Score = Route Subtotal x 10	<u>50</u>			

<sup>\*</sup>The overall and adjusted scores will be between 0 and 100. The maximum overall score for a site with only one exposure route is 57.7.